

HISPANIC STUDENT SUCCESS:
FACTORS INFLUENCING THE PERSISTENCE
AND TRANSFER DECISIONS OF LATINO
COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDENTS
ENROLLED IN DEVELOPMENTAL EDUCATION

2009AIR Forum Presentation

Gloria Crisp, *The University of Texas at San Antonio*

Amaury Nora, *University of Houston*

June 2, 2009

Introduction



- ❑ It is estimated that nearly 30 percent of the US population will be Hispanic by 2050
- ❑ The majority of these students will begin their college education at a community college
- ❑ Hispanic students are less likely than White community college students to complete an associate's degree, transfer, or earn a bachelor's degree
- ❑ Research is needed to track Hispanic students who enroll in developmental coursework and then persist and/or transfer to a four-year institution

Purpose and Research Questions



- Examine the demographic, pre-college, socio-cultural, environmental, and academic experiences that impact the success of Hispanic students in the second and third years of college
 - ▣ What factors are significantly related to persistence and/or transfer to the second and third years of college among Hispanic community college students?
 - ▣ How do the variables that are related to student success vary among developmental and non-developmental students?

Theoretical Framework



- Drew from several theories including Tinto's (1993) Model of Student Integration, Nora's (2003) Student/Institution Engagement Model, as well as conceptual models specific to Latino students
- Framework: The persistence and transfer decisions of Hispanic students attending community colleges were thought to be related to demographic and pre-college variables, socio/cultural capital, environmental pull-factors, and academic experiences

Theoretical Framework (cont.)



- **Demographic Variables** (gender, type of Hispanic origin, English as primary language, one or both parents born in US)
- **Pre-College Variables** (high school math courses taken, high school grade point average, delayed enrollment in college)
- **Socio-Cultural Variables** (parental education, importance of being a community leader, importance of influencing political structure, community service participation)

Theoretical Framework (cont.)



- **Environmental Pull Factors** (amount of financial aid received, number of hours worked per week, enrollment intensity)
- **Academic Experiences** (attended a HSI, time with a faculty member, time with academic advisor, GPA, developmental course enrollment)
- **Outcome Variables**
 - ▣ Student success in second year of college
 - ▣ Student success in third year of college

Method



- Database and Sample
 - ▣ Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study (BPS 04:06)
 - ▣ Hispanic students who first enrolled at a public community college in 2003-04 and who planned to transfer to a four-year institution (n = 567)
- Data Analysis
 - ▣ Block sequential modeling – logistic regressions
 - Student success in years 2 and 3
 - Run for developmental and non-developmental students in years 2 and 3

Results: *Student Sample*



□ **Student Profile:**

- 567 Hispanic students
- Initially enrolled in a community college in 2003-2004
- Stated intent to transfer to four-year institution
- 57% female; 43% male
- 48% Mexican or Chicano descent; 12% Puerto Rican; 3% Cuban

Results: *Sample Characteristics*

□ **Background Characteristics:**

- 48% enrolled in Algebra 2 as highest math course; 15% in trigonometry; 12% in calculus
- 54% graduated with <3.0 gpa
- 42% delayed entering college after graduation
- < 50% enrolled full-time in college
- 52% enrolled in one or more developmental courses during first year in college
- 41% attended an HSI community college
- 35% did not persist or transfer at the end of second year and 41% in the third year

Results: *Logistic Regression Analysis*

- Predicting Success in the Second and Third Years of College:
 - **Persist/Transfer** = demographic + pre-collegiate + socio-cultural capital + environmental pull factors + academic experiences
 - Overall fit of second-year model:
 - $\chi^2 (19, n = 570) = 98.55$ ($p < .001$); PCP = 72.5%
 - Overall fit of third-year model:
 - $\chi^2 = (19, n = 570) = 72.88$ ($p < .001$); PCP = 66.1%

Results: *Parameter Estimates and Model Evaluation – Analysis Split by Developmental Status*

	Developmental Students	Non-Developmental Students
Second Year	$\chi^2 = 34.59$ PCP = 71.9%	$\chi^2 = 51.61$ PCP = 70.5%
Third Year	$\chi^2 = 16.62$ PCP = 65.1%	$\chi^2 = 53.33$ PCP = 67.6%

Student Success: Year 2 versus Year 3

<i>Factor Impacting Student Success</i>	<i>Same for Year 2 and Year 3</i>	<i>Differences for Year 2 and Year 3</i>
High school math courses taken	+	
Delayed enrollment in college	-	
Parental education	+	
Number of hours worked per week	-	
Enrollment intensity (attending full-time)	+	
Amount of financial aid received		+ for year 2
Developmental course enrollment		+ for year 2
Attended a Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI)		+ for year 3
Structural academic involvement (GPA)		+ for year 3

Student Success: Developmental versus Non-developmental (Year 2)

<i>Factor Impacting Student Success</i>	<i>Same for Both Groups</i>	<i>Different between Groups</i>
Number of hours worked per week	-	
Amount of financial aid received	+	
Enrollment intensity (attending full-time)	+	
High school math courses taken		+ for non-developmental students
Parental education		+ for developmental students

Student Success: Developmental versus Non-developmental (Year 3)

<i>Factor Impacting Student Success</i>	<i>Same for Both Groups</i>	<i>Different between Groups</i>
Number of hours worked per week		- for non-developmental students
Enrollment intensity (attending full-time)		+ for non-developmental students
High school math courses taken		+ for non-developmental students
Parental education		+ for non-developmental students

Developmental (Year 2) versus Developmental (Year 3)

<i>Factor Impacting Student Success</i>	<i>Year 2</i>	<i>Year 3</i>
Number of hours worked per week	-	<i>ns</i>
Amount of financial aid received	+	<i>ns</i>
Enrollment intensity	+	<i>ns</i>
Parental education	+	<i>ns</i>

Non-Developmental (Year 2) versus Non-Developmental (Year 3)

<i>Factor Impacting Student Success</i>	<i>Year 2</i>	<i>Year 3</i>
High school math courses taken	+	+
Parental education	<i>ns</i>	+
Number of hours worked per week	-	-
Enrollment intensity	+	+
Financial aid received	+	<i>ns</i>

Three Major Conclusions



□ *First Conclusion*

- There are a common set of factors that previously have been found to impact measures of success for students enrolled at four-year institutions that are substantiated for Hispanic developmental and non-developmental community college students.
- Validation of a theoretical/conceptual model of student success for Hispanic students.

Three Major Conclusions



□ Second Conclusion

- Findings support the influence of environmental pull-factors as important for both developmental and non-developmental students.
 - The influences of the educational attainment of parents
 - A strong financial support so that students can attend college full-time without having to work
 - Advantages of a strong high school academic curriculum

Three Major Conclusions



□ Third Conclusion

- A common set of factors were more influential early on for developmental students while they were felt by non-developmental students throughout their enrollment in college
- Practices and interventions focused early on for remedial students will payoff even if those factors are lacking in later years
- Benefits provided in earlier semesters will still motivate and encourage developmental students to remain enrolled in college until their educational aspirations are reached

QUESTIONS?





Contact Information

Gloria Crisp, Assistant Professor
The University of Texas at San Antonio
210-458-7191
gloria.crisp@utsa.edu

Amaury Nora, Professor and Director
University of Houston
713-743-8636
anora@uh.edu

A copy of the paper can be downloaded at:
http://elps.utsa.edu/Faculty/GCrisp_000.htm