All 2 and 4-Year For-Profit Institutions Response Rates

Response Rates			
	#	#	Response
	Attempted	Responded	Rate
Response Rate (all institutions listed in the 2013-14 IPEDS Data Collection)	1553	52	3%
Response Rate (all institutions listed in the 2013-14 IPEDS Data Collection with contact information)	313	52	17%

Section 1: Office and Staffing			
Which best represents the dominant structure for the institutional research and reporting functions at this inst	itution?		
Number of respondents	51		
IR/Reporting is primarily performed by an established office with assigned staff (Office of Institutional Research or similar structure)	33%		
IR/Reporting is performed by multiple, independent offices/units (e.g., Assessment, Institutional Effectiveness, Institutional Research, Business Intelligence)	14%		
IR/Reporting is primarily performed for the institution by a corporate, system, or district office that serves multiple institutions	8%		
IR/Reporting is performed by one or more individuals without an "Office of IR" (e.g., employees of IT, Registrar's Office, CEO's Office)	43%		
None of the above	2%		
In which division is the Office of IR primarily located?			
Number of respondents	27		
President/Chief Executive Officer	33%		
Provost/Chief Academic Officer	37%		
Business Affairs	4%		
Student Affairs/Student Services	7%		
Technology/IT	4%		

Within that division, who does the Office of IR director/senior manager report to?			
	Number of respondents	26	
Chief-level		73%	
Associate/Assistant-level		4%	
Other		23%	

Percentage of institutions with staff type and, among those, average headcount of staff (filled/vacant positions)				
	% Inst	Head Count		
Director/senior manager, full-time	93%	1.2		
Director/senior manager, part-time	11%	1.0		
Professional IR staff, full-time	81%	2.9		
Professional IR staff, part-time	11%	1.0		
Administrative support staff, full-time	15%	1.5		
Administrative support staff, part-time	11%	1.0		
Graduate students	0%	0.0		
Undergraduate students	4%	1.0		

Average Full-time Equivalent (FTE) of Director and Professional IR Staff		
Nur	mber of respondents	26
Less than 1 FTE		4%
1 FTE to less than 2 FTE		15%
2 FTE to less than 3 FTE		46%
3 FTE to less than 5 FTE		15%
5 FTE to less than 10 FTE		8%
More than 10 FTE		8%

 $NOTE: FTE\ is\ calculated\ as\ Director/Professional\ IR\ staff\ full-time\ headcount\ +\ 1/2\ Director/Professional\ IR\ staff\ part-time\ headcount\ +\ 1/2\ Director/Professional\ Professional\ Professi$

Other

15%

Section 1: Office and Staffing

How did the 2014-2015 professional IR Staff headcount compare to three years ago?		
	Number of respondents	25
More staff now than 3 years ago		32%
No change		40%
Fewer staff now than 3 years ago		28%
Not applicable		0%
Don't know		0%

Please indicate the highest degree earned by Office of IR's Director/Senior Manager			
	Number of respondents	2	24
Less than a Baccalaureate degree			4%
Baccalaureate degree		1	.3%
Master's degree/Specialist (M.A., M.S., Ed.S.)		3	88%
Doctoral degree, including professional practice doctorates (e.g., Ph.D., Ed.D., M.D., J.D.)		4	6%

How many years has the director/senior manager been in the following roles?			
Nu	22		
	Mean	StdDev	
Employed at this institution?		8.7	8.0
Employed in an IR capacity, regardless of employer?		7.5	5.4
Leading the Office of IR at this institution?	5.5	4.9	

The average work effort for Director/Senior Manager during the 2014-2015 academic year.				
Number of respondents	19			
	Mean	StdDev		
Data governance – planning and managing institution-wide data strategies	5.5	5.5		
Data collection – surveys, directly monitoring data sources, etc.	4.2	5.7		
Data management – storing, organizing, cleaning, and handling "raw data"	3.8	3.3		
Basic analytics – summing, totaling, sorting, descriptive statistics, etc.	4.0	4.1		
Advanced analytics – predictive modeling, multi-level statistics, etc.	1.4	2.1		
Direct dissemination – communicating and presenting information/studies	6.4	5.3		
Automated disseminations – dashboards, factbooks, websites	0.9	1.4		
Technology management – hardware, software, and programming for IR	1.8	2.5		
Professional development – activities to develop knowledge and skills	1.9	1.4		
Office and staff management – budget, personnel, hiring, staff meetings, etc.	1.8	2.2		
Meetings – standing participation in general campus/institutional meetings	6.3	5.8		
Other	2.3	5.2		

NOTE: Values have been scaled to represent number of hours in a 40-hour work week.

Section 1: Office and Staffing

The average work effort for Professional IR Staff during the 2014-2015 academic year.				
Number of respondents	13			
	Mean	StdDev		
Data governance – planning and managing institution-wide data strategies	0.5	0.9		
Data collection – surveys, directly monitoring data sources, etc.	6.6	6.3		
Data management – storing, organizing, cleaning, and handling "raw data"	7.8	6.4		
Basic analytics – summing, totaling, sorting, descriptive statistics, etc.	7.1	4.5		
Advanced analytics – predictive modeling, multi-level statistics, etc.	1.1	2.4		
Direct dissemination – communicating and presenting information/studies	5.6	9.3		
Automated disseminations – dashboards, factbooks, websites	1.7	2.8		
Technology management – hardware, software, and programming for IR	1.8	2.9		
Professional development – activities to develop knowledge and skills	1.4	1.2		
Office and staff management – budget, personnel, hiring, staff meetings, etc.	0.2	0.6		
Meetings – standing participation in general campus/institutional meetings	1.8	2.2		
Other	4.6	10.4		

NOTE: Values have been scaled to represent number of hours in a 40-hour work week.

During the 2014-2015 academic year, did any staff members have a study that originated in the Office of IR accepted for
publication in a peer-reviewed scholarly journal?

	and the contract of the contra		
		Number of respondents	25
Yes			8%
No			92%
Don't know			0%

Section 2: Financial Resources

Did funding exist during the 2014-2015 academic year?	
Number of respondents	16
Funds from normal campus budgeting process – funds specifically allocated to the Office of IR	69%
Funds included in the supervising unit's budget – not specifically earmarked for the Office of IR	38%
Collection of "fees" for specific services rendered	0%
External grant funding	0%
Internal grant funding (e.g., special projects)	0%
Funds available through special requests or "one-off" appropriations	13%
Other	0%

NOTE: Respondents could choose multiple answers. Column will add to more than 100%.

If funding existed, what was its percent of total funds?	
Number of respondents	16
Funds from normal campus budgeting process – funds specifically allocated to the Office of IR	64%
Funds included in the supervising unit's budget – not specifically earmarked for the Office of IR	28%
Collection of "fees" for specific services rendered	0%
External grant funding	0%
Internal grant funding (e.g., special projects)	0%
Funds available through special requests or "one-off" appropriations	6%
Other	0%

Approximately how many dollars did the Office of IR have primary responsibility/oversight for during the 2014 academic year (exclude salary/benefits)?	-2015
Number of respondents	16
Less than \$10,000	25%
\$10,000 to \$24,999	31%
\$25,000 to \$49,000	0%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	6%
\$100,000 to \$499,999	6%
\$500,000 or more	0%

In the next fiscal year, do you anticipate funding to:		
	Number of respondents	15
Increase		27%
No change		73%
Decrease		0%

Section 3: Tasks and Functions

Which best describes how the Office of IR tracks its work and due dates?		
1	Number of respondents	24
Spreadsheet/document checklist		54%
Home-grown tracking technology		13%
Specific project management/collaboration software		8%
Projects aren't centrally tracked		8%
Other		17%

Does a staff member in the Office of IR serve as the institution's IPEDS Keyholder	?	
	Number of respondents	24
Yes		71%
No		29%
Don't know		0%

IR Tasks and the degree to which IR is primarily responsible, shared re	esponsibility, or had	no respo	onsibility	
Numbe	r of respondents	24		
	Pri	mary	Shared	None
IPEDS data reporting	8	32%	14%	5%
Data reporting – mandatory: state / district requests for data	7	' 6%	24%	0%
Data reporting – guide books / rankings / surveys	7	' 5%	20%	5%
Data reporting – mandatory: federal requests for data	6	88%	32%	0%
Factbook development or equivalent		66%	17%	28%
Faculty/staff satisfaction studies		55%	15%	30%
Data sharing with consortia	Ţ.	55%	45%	0%
Enrollment – attrition / retention / graduation analyses	C	4%	38%	8%
Key performance indicator (KPI) development / monitoring	C	3%	37%	11%
Enrollment – predictions / modeling		86%	23%	41%
Course evaluations	3	35%	30%	35%
Student learning outcomes assessment		35%	52%	13%
Alumni employment studies	2	26%	17%	57%
Accreditation – institutional	2	25%	75%	0%
Institutional strategic planning	2	23%	68%	9%
Crime statistics reporting	2	20%	40%	40%
Institutional strategic plan – monitoring performance	1	.8%	68%	14%
Economic impact studies	1	.7%	33%	50%
Faculty productivity studies	1	.4%	21%	64%
Accreditation – specialized / program	1	.3%	65%	22%
Class scheduling / demand studies		7%	36%	57%
Workforce analyses (faculty / staff / administrators)		6%	44%	50%
Net Price Calculator		5%	40%	55%
Student borrowing / debt studies		0%	41%	59%
Institutional budget / finance modeling		0%	39%	61%
Student financial aid modeling		0%	38%	63%
Athletic association mandated reports		0%	33%	67%
Performance-based funding modeling and projecting		0%	30%	70%
Space utilization studies		0%	20%	80%
Salary equity studies		0%	8%	92%

Section 4: Data Access

During the 2014-2015 academic year, what level of access did the Office of IR have for each of the following types of data?

Number of respondents	24		
	Unrestricted	Partial / Restricted	None/Very limited
Student academic records	83%	8%	8%
Student satisfaction	79%	13%	8%
Student class attendance	71%	13%	17%
Instruction – student ratings	67%	4%	29%
National survey data with student-level identification (e.g., NSSE/CCSSE)	61%	6%	33%
Admissions	54%	21%	25%
Academic advising	48%	22%	30%
Non-credit enrollment records (e.g., continuing education, workforce development)	48%	9%	43%
Student early warning alerts	46%	33%	21%
High school transcripts	42%	25%	33%
Student activities/student affairs transcripts	39%	22%	39%
Student use of academic support services	39%	26%	35%
Alumni employment	38%	29%	33%
Financial aid	38%	33%	29%
Student use of library	38%	25%	38%
Faculty productivity	35%	13%	52%
Facilities/space use	30%	9%	61%
Crime	22%	17%	61%
Institutional finance records	8%	25%	67%
Human resource/personnel – salaries	4%	8%	88%
Trainian resource, personner salaries			

Section 5: Clients and Colleagues

During the 2014-2015 academic year, what types of support did th			on?	
	Number of respondents	24 Data / Information / Analyses	Provided Consult	No support
President/CEO		96%	58%	0%
Academic Affairs/Provost		96%	67%	0%
Academic Colleges/Departments		92%	71%	0%
Assessment		92%	63%	4%
Admissions		75%	38%	13%
Registrar's Office		71%	67%	8%
Student Affairs Administrative Office		71%	67%	8%
Student Financial Aid		67%	71%	13%
Career Services		63%	54%	17%
Board of Trustees		58%	21%	29%
Enrollment Management		58%	46%	33%
Library		54%	63%	13%
Graduate/Professional Schools		46%	38%	38%
Finance/Budget		42%	42%	42%
Veterans' Services		42%	42%	29%
Alumni Affairs		33%	38%	38%
Information Technology		29%	63%	29%
Human Resources		25%	46%	50%
Athletics		17%	13%	67%
Campus Facilities/Buildings and Grounds Unit		17%	13%	67%
Advancement		13%	13%	58%
Housing/Residence Life		13%	13%	75%
Development Office/Foundation		8%	0%	79%
Faculty Senate		8%	25%	63%
Grants/Contracts Office		4%	8%	79%

Which best describes the organizational structure of Institutional Research (IR) and Information Technology (IT)?	
Number of respondents	24
IR and IT are in the same department	8%
IR and IT are not in the same department, but report to the same administrative unit	8%
IR and IT report to different administrative units	83%
The institution does not have an IT department	0%
Other	0%