# Merging Data to Facilitate Analyses

Robert Kelchen
Associate Professor, Seton Hall University
<a href="mailto:robert.kelchen@shu.edu">robert.kelchen@shu.edu</a>, @rkelchen
April 2020

#### About my presentation

- Based on an article in New Directions for Institutional Research in a special issue on national postsecondary data (<u>link</u>)
- Lots of great articles in that issue!
- Pre-publication copy is freely available on my personal website (<u>link</u>)

# Why do institutional data merges?

- Benchmarking (the most common reason)
- Getting out in front of accountability pressures to demonstrate value
- Understand and model changes in college ranking/rating and performance funding systems
- Answer institutional and public policy questions

## Outline of today's talk

- Federal data sources
- State/local data sources
- Examples of answering questions using multiple datasets
- Tips, tricks, and lessons learned throughout!

#### Federal Student Aid-based data

- FSA collects data on the 70% or so of students who get federal financial aid for college
  - Lowest coverage at community colleges, highest coverage at for-profits
- Can provide information on student outcomes after leaving college
- But...the data don't always play nicely with IPEDS!

#### UnitIDs versus OPEIDs

- IPEDS uses UnitIDs as the unit of analysis
- FSA uses OPEIDs—which are based on program participation agreements with ED
- Some systems all report under one main OPEID, while others have separate ones
- Parent/child reporting issues also a concern with IPEDS finance data

## Identifying parent/child issues

- Look at the last two digits of the 8-digit OPEID
- Ends in 00: Parent institution (80% of colleges)
- Ends in 01-99: Child institution
- Especially a concern among for-profit colleges and certain public university systems

## Parent/child examples

Table 5.1. Examples of UnitID-OPEID Crosswalks and Parent-Child Issues

Name	OPEID	UnitID	Parent-Child Issue
Ohio State University—Columbus	00309000	204796	Yes (parent)
Ohio State University—Lima	00309001	204671	Yes (child)
Ohio State University—Mansfield	00309002	204680	Yes (child)
Rutgers—New Brunswick	00262900	186380	Yes (parent)
Rutgers—Camden	00262901	186371	Yes (child)
Rutgers—Newark	00262902	186399	Yes (child)
Indiana University—Bloomington	00180900	151351	No
Indiana University—East	00181100	151388	No
Indiana University—Kokomo	00181400	151333	No
University of Wisconsin—Madison	00389500	240444	No
University of Wisconsin—Milwaukee	00389600	240453	No
University of Wisconsin—Green Bay	00389900	240277	No

Source: 2016 College Scorecard crosswalk file.

#### Linking UnitIDs and OPEIDs

- Challenge: Closures, mergers, acquisitions, and new branch campus make things messy
- Important to research the context of institutions you are studying
- College Scorecard provides crosswalks over time as a part of its data documentation

## Solutions for parent/child issues

- Drop these colleges (especially if they aren't of interest)
- Aggregate IPEDS data to the OPEID level
- Allocate FSA data based on per-FTE basis or IPEDS resource flag
- Drawback: Some data elements can't be separated out

#### College Scorecard

- First announced by President Obama in 2012 as a consumer choice tool
- Modern version was launched in 2015 after a federal college ratings system failed
- Two portals:
  - Public-facing site (<u>link</u>)
  - Data site (<u>link</u>)

#### Scorecard data downloads

- Three potential options:
  - All data files
  - Most recent institution-level data
  - Most recent data by field of study (new program level data—released fall 2019)
- Caution: Downloads (and resulting Excel files)
  are quite large and may exceed
  computer/software package capacity!

#### Key institution-level metrics

- Lots of IPEDS data also pulled in for you
- Earnings data:
  - Earnings 6-10 years after starting college
  - Percent of students making more than \$28,000
  - Broken down by gender, family income tercile, and dependency status
- If cell size is too small, "NULL" or "PrivacySupressed" are reported

#### Key institution-level metrics

- Undergraduate federal student loan burdens upon leaving college
  - Mean, median, and percentile distributions
  - Debt by gender, family income tercile, dependency status, completion status (caution!), and first-generation status
- Excludes Parent PLUS, private loans, and grad school debt, so value is limited

#### Key institution-level metrics

- Repayment rate: defined as share of former borrowers repaying at least \$1 in principal
  - Measured at 1, 3, 5, and 7 years after entering repayment
  - Also broken down by student subgroups
- Finally, percent of first-generation students is a useful new measure

#### About program-level data

- Includes graduate and undergraduate programs
- Graduates only—excludes dropouts
- Done at the four-digit CIP code, which can combine smaller programs into a larger one
- Cell size requirement is about 20 graduates receiving federal aid

#### Program-level metrics

- Median earnings measured approximately one year after graduation
- Debt at graduation (mean, median, estimated monthly payment)
- Longer-term earnings measures are planned
- Also expect to see a student loan repayment measure

## Title IV volume reports

- FSA produces OPEID-level datasets of federal financial aid disbursements (<u>link</u>)
- Includes information on each type of federal grant, loan, and work-study program from 2001 to the present
- Data are presented quarterly for most programs—use the award year summary under Q4

#### Tips for using Title IV volume reports

- For loan data, use amount disbursed instead of amount originated
- Before 2009-10, combine loans disbursed from FFEL and Direct Loan programs
- Campus-based aid data include the federal award and the total amount disbursed with institutional matches

#### Federal accountability datasets

- FSA collects a host of accountability information (<u>link</u>), including the following:
  - Clery Act reports
  - Heightened cash monitoring
  - Cohort default rates
  - 90/10 rule
  - Letters of credit
  - Financial responsibility scores
  - Gainful employment—not updated

# Military and veterans' benefit data

- IPEDS has information on the Post-9/11 GI Bill and DOD Tuition Assistance program in the Student Financial Aid survey from 2013-14 forward
- Department of Veterans Affairs has a dataset (<u>link</u>) on GI Bill benefits going back to FY 2009
  - But they use a facility code that doesn't align with UnitIDs or OPEIDs

#### Research productivity data

- NSF's Higher Education Research and Development Survey (<u>link</u>): Information on sources and uses of research funding since 1972
- Survey of Earned Doctorates (<u>link</u>): Info on new doctoral recipients since 1958
- Go to "microdata"—now can get institution-level data
- Have to reshape data into wide format for analyses

## Research productivity data

- Another cool data source: Center for Measuring University Performance at UMass (<u>link</u>)
- Has data on annual giving, postdoctoral appointees, National Merit Scholars, faculty awards, and National Academies members
- But...no UnitIDs are provided

#### Opportunity Insights data

- Research team got access to IRS data on parental earnings, tuition payments to colleges, and student earnings (<u>link</u>)
- Covers students born 1980-1991 and tracked through 2014
- Focal measure: Social mobility rates by income quintile
- Can also track marriage rates by cohort—neat!

## Opportunity Insights tips and tricks

- Data are reported by OPEID or super OPEID ("University of Wisconsin System" and "Certain Colorado Community Colleges")
  - 2,143 unique UnitIDs
  - 222 OPEIDs
  - 96 super OPEID clusters
  - Table 11 of their dataset provides info—use the "multi" variable to identify super OPEID flags
- Will the dataset ever be updated again?

#### State and local data sources

- Important to provide context about how colleges operate
- Can help with identifying comparison institutions for benchmarking
- Can merge onto IPEDS using state/FIPS or county codes

#### State higher ed finance data sources

- State Higher Education Executive Officers Association's SHEF survey (<u>link</u>)
- National Association of State Student Grant and Aid Programs annual survey (<u>link</u>)
- Can merge this onto other data, such as from the Census, to get measures of funding effort per young adult/adult over time

#### Other useful data sources

- Overarching state data source: Correlates of State Policy Project (<u>link</u>)
  - But only updated through 2016
- Unemployment rates (Bureau of Labor Statistics)
- Median household income (Bureau of Economic Analysis)
- Percentage of residents living in poverty (Census Bureau)

#### Other useful data sources

- Educational attainment rates, racial/ethnic makeup of state (Census Bureau)
- State political characteristics (National Conference of State Legislatures)
- Bureau of Labor Statistics and the American Community Survey have county-level data, but the ACS only goes back to 2005

#### Merging IPEDS with other sources

- Check merges each step of the way for errors, duplicate results, and missing data
- Make sure UnitID/OPEID issues have been resolved
- Be careful for college mergers, consolidations, and name changes
- Always check descriptive statistics!

#### Examples of IPEDS merges

- Hillman (2015) merged IPEDS and FSA data with an ED data on accreditors to look at factors associated with high cohort default rates
- Klasik & Hutt (2018) merged Center for American Progress data on accreditor actions with IPEDS and College Scorecard data

#### Merging your own data

- Some research questions require collecting your own data to merge onto IPEDS
- Data may be at the institution level, state level, or some other relevant unit of analysis
- My current project (<u>link</u>) collects data on state performance funding policies merged onto IPEDS and College Scorecard data and statelevel control variables

#### Concluding remarks

- Researchers and practitioners have used IPEDS for years when conducting analyses across colleges
- But the field is moving quickly toward more sophisticated analyses
- Requires IPEDS to be supplemented with other sources, many of which are not as widely used...yet

#### Concluding remarks

- For many questions, program-level data will become increasingly important
  - College Scorecard data
  - Earnings data from the Census—becoming available for more colleges
  - State longitudinal data systems
  - Can even use data collected by sources like US News
- IPEDS data still play an important role for institutional context

## Thank you!

- Feel free to contact me with any questions or for additional examples of data merges
- robert.kelchen@shu.edu